

# Example *valgrind* run on an Asterix compiler with memory errors

G.P. Halkes

November 9, 2006

## Introduction

*valgrind* is a tool with which memory access errors and memory leaks can be detected. This document shows examples of the most common errors in the Compiler Construction labwork.

## Memory leaks

Below is the output from *valgrind* on a version of the Asterix compiler into which deliberate memory leaks have been introduced. The compiler was executed by:

```
valgrind --tool=memcheck --leak-check=full --show-reachable=yes ./cbf  
-I../include ../example/example.ast
```

```
1  ==24947== Memcheck, a memory error detector for x86-linux.  
2  ==24947== Copyright (C) 2002-2005, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.  
3  ==24947== Using valgrind-2.4.0, a program supervision framework for x86-linux.  
4  ==24947== Copyright (C) 2000-2005, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.  
5  ==24947== For more details, rerun with: -v  
6  ==24947==  
7  ==24947==  
8  ==24947== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 13 from 1)  
9  ==24947== malloc/free: in use at exit: 653 bytes in 45 blocks.  
10 ==24947== malloc/free: 914 allocs, 869 frees, 53208 bytes allocated.  
11 ==24947== For counts of detected errors, rerun with: -v  
12 ==24947== searching for pointers to 45 not-freed blocks.  
13 ==24947== checked 78236 bytes.  
14 ==24947==  
15 ==24947== 224 bytes in 14 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 2  
16 ==24947==    at 0x1B90459D: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:130)  
17 ==24947==    by 0x804AB12: safe_malloc (salloc.c:22)  
18 ==24947==    by 0x804BE66: new_subprog_type (type.c:47)  
19 ==24947==    by 0x804C67A: yyparse (grammar.y:240)  
20 ==24947==    by 0x804AAA7: main (main.c:85)  
21 ==24947==  
22 ==24947==  
23 ==24947== 429 bytes in 31 blocks are still reachable in loss record 2 of 2  
24 ==24947==    at 0x1B90459D: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:130)  
25 ==24947==    by 0x804AB12: safe_malloc (salloc.c:22)  
26 ==24947==    by 0x804AC89: new_scope (scope.c:77)  
27 ==24947==    by 0x804C4B6: yyparse (grammar.y:127)  
28 ==24947==    by 0x804AAA7: main (main.c:85)  
29 ==24947==  
30 ==24947== LEAK SUMMARY:
```

```

31 ==24947==      definitely lost: 224 bytes in 14 blocks.
32 ==24947==      possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks.
33 ==24947==      still reachable: 429 bytes in 31 blocks.
34 ==24947==      suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks.

```

On line 15 of the output, *valgrind* mentions that there are 14 blocks definitely lost. This is caused by failing to call `delete_type` at `declaration.c:52`. Note that the compiler was specifically altered not to call `delete_type` for this run.

Line 23 of the *valgrind* output notes that there are 31 blocks still reachable. Again, this is caused by deliberately disabling a line of code in the compiler, in this case `main.c:64` (`delete_scope`). The difference with the previous message is that the pointers to the blocks allocated for the first message are lost, while the program still has a usable pointer to the blocks in the second case. Therefore, *valgrind* says the blocks are definitely lost in the first case, and still reachable in the second case.

Following these lines is a stacktrace of the points where `malloc` was called for the blocks that were not `free'd`. In the Asterix compiler, all calls to `malloc` are done through the `safe_malloc` function which ensures that failing calls are caught. When going down through the stacktrace, it is easy to see that both calls to `malloc` originated in the grammar (function `yyparse` in `grammar.y`).

## Freeing a block twice or reading from `free'd` memory

If the compiler calls one of the `delete_XXX` functions on the same block of memory more than once, the compiler may seem to operate correctly. However, on a different platform, the compiler may suddenly exhibit broken behaviour. Therefore you should check whether your compiler can run through *valgrind* without errors. Below is part of the *valgrind* output for an Asterix compiler with a trivial double call to the `delete_type` function:

```

1  ==25102== Invalid read of size 4
2  ==25102==      at 0x804BECE: delete_type (type.c:68)
3  ==25102==      by 0x8048B07: delete_declaration (declaration.c:54)
4  ==25102==      by 0x8048B71: delete_declaration_list (declaration.c:75)
5  ==25102==      by 0x804AA6F: terminate (main.c:63)
6  ==25102==      by 0x804AACF: main (main.c:102)
7  ==25102== Address 0x1BA4BF14 is 4 bytes inside a block of size 16 free'd
8  ==25102==      at 0x1B904B04: free (vg_replace_malloc.c:152)
9  ==25102==      by 0x804BEFB: delete_type (type.c:80)
10 ==25102==      by 0x8048AF9: delete_declaration (declaration.c:53)
11 ==25102==      by 0x8048B71: delete_declaration_list (declaration.c:75)
12 ==25102==      by 0x804AA6F: terminate (main.c:63)
13 ==25102==      by 0x804AACF: main (main.c:102)

```

As you can see, *valgrind* reports an invalid read. This is caused by the `delete_type` function checking members of the struct. The first stacktrace shows where the invalid read is performed, the second stacktrace shows where `free` was called to release the block of memory. If you study the stacktrace closely you will find that `delete_type` is called from `declaration.c:53` and `declaration.c:54`. In this case the compiler contained a duplicate of line 53 at line 54. This example does not have a so-called *double free* error, because the code in the `delete_type` function does reference counting.

## Double free

A double free error is when `free` is called with the same pointer more than once. This can occur for example when a `delete_XXX` function is called for anything but a `Type` struct. The associated output from *valgrind* looks like:

```

1  ==25841== Invalid free() / delete / delete[]
2  ==25841==      at 0x1B904B04: free (vg_replace_malloc.c:152)
3  ==25841==      by 0x804BDA9: delete_token (token.c:28)
4  ==25841==      by 0x80493A8: delete_expression (expression.c:49)
5  ==25841==      by 0x804B1EF: delete_statement (statement.c:175)

```

```

6  ==25841==      by 0x804B133: delete_statement (statement.c:148)
7  ==25841==      by 0x8048ADD: delete_declaration (declaration.c:50)
8  ==25841==      by 0x8048B71: delete_declaration_list (declaration.c:75)
9  ==25841==      by 0x804AA6F: terminate (main.c:63)
10 ==25841==      by 0x804AACF: main (main.c:102)
11 ==25841== Address 0x1BA50138 is 0 bytes inside a block of size 23 free'd
12 ==25841==      at 0x1B904B04: free (vg_replace_malloc.c:152)
13 ==25841==      by 0x804BDA9: delete_token (token.c:28)
14 ==25841==      by 0x80493A8: delete_expression (expression.c:49)
15 ==25841==      by 0x804B1EF: delete_statement (statement.c:175)
16 ==25841==      by 0x804B133: delete_statement (statement.c:148)
17 ==25841==      by 0x8048ACF: delete_declaration (declaration.c:49)
18 ==25841==      by 0x8048B71: delete_declaration_list (declaration.c:75)
19 ==25841==      by 0x804AA6F: terminate (main.c:63)
20 ==25841==      by 0x804AACF: main (main.c:102)

```

To create this trace, the `delete_statement` was called twice from `delete_declaration`. Once from line 49, and once from line 50.

## Final remarks

Some versions of `glibc` and `flex` do not clean up properly after finishing. Specifically, you may see blocks allocated in the `fopen` and `yylex` functions. If the original compiler exhibits the same behaviour, you do not need to fix this. Also, when an error is detected by the Bison generated parser, some blocks allocated from `yyparse` will not be `free'd`. For the Bison compiler it is impossible to avoid this problem in a way that will work with all Bison versions. Therefore this is acceptable behaviour.